

TRI-DAM PROJECT

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

BID DOCUMENTS FOR TULLOCH DAY USE SITE PROJECT

DATE: October 25, 2021

TRI-DAM PROJECT

NOTICE TO ELIGIBLE BIDDERS:

Following are the Questions and Answers related to the Tulloch Day Use Site Project.

1. Section 01195 of the Contract Documents states that “Acts of God include precipitation or wind storm events greater than a 25 year event.” If heavy rain occurs, but is not defined as a 25 year event by the National Weather Service, will a rain day on the project not be allowed? Should a certain amount of rain days be built into the bid price?

Response. The “Act of God” reference relates to the cost of repairing or restoring damage in excess of 5% of the Contract amount. Scheduling and rain days are at the discretion of Contractor. Any amount(s) to include in the bid price related to these costs is at the discretion of the Contractor.

2. Is there a particular desired rock source for the new rock walls on the project? Is there a specification section that defines the work to be done on the new rock wall?

Response. The rock source is not dictated, although we are aware that there are local sources which will match the rock of the upper and lower walls, and the request to match the walls is noted on the plans. The specifications for the rock are contained within the Specifications document labelled “Cover Sheet and Notes”

3. On Sheet W4.0 detail 1A states that the contractor is to “place concrete in joints between rocks below high water level” with a note to that detail stating “not part of this contract” crossed out. In Detail 1B the same direction of work is provided but the note stating “not part of this contract” is not crossed out. Also in the On-Site Improvement Plans for this project on Sheet 3 of 9, Note 21 states that it is “not part of this contract.” Can you please provide clarification if placing concrete in joints between rocks below the high water level is part of this contract or not?

Response. The requirement to place concrete in joints between rocks in the lake IS part of this contract. Sheet W4.0 and detail 1A with the notation “not part of this contract” as crossed out is correct. The strike-through in Note 1B was omitted erroneously. Note 21 of the On-Site Improvement Plans should also have a strike-through for that Note. That part of the project should be accessible between award of contract and March/April 2022. The reservoir fill schedule is expected to follow “normal fill conditions”, and past schedules are posted on Tri-Dam’s website.

4. On Sheet C2, a 2" SSFM (C900 Class Welded HDPE) line is called out. C900 does not go below 4" and HDPE would be classified by a DR#. Please clarify.

Response. The clarified requirement should be a 2" SSFM (Welded HDPE DR 11), as provided by CCWD.

5. On Sheet 1 of the On-Site Improvement Plans, Note 8 of the Grading Specific Notes states "Excess cut materials to be disposed of on site." is there a designated spot on site to dispose of excess material or is it to be spread across the site? Please clarify.

Response. Although no designated spot is noted on the plans, it is recommended that any excess material be utilized in the most appropriate and compliant location possible.

6. Is it possible to make the source CAD files available?

Response. Given that the project is relatively small in scope, the source CAD files are not deemed necessary. There is information from the source CAD file(s) in the Specifications Document, labelled "Cover Sheet and Notes"